(not to be destroyed)

Previous references.

Bagalur Palegar's family No. 27.
Manjarabad -do- 30.
G.O. 12655-6/1671 d/ 13.2.1891.

1910.

(S & A)

File No. 570 - 10

Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Later references.

S&A 52-11 881-2 B. June 1912
S&A 624-11 881-2 7 June 1912
" 59-19 Nos: 5&8, 13 May 1920.

10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Part B. for July 11

SUBJECT.

Prayer of Mrs Padmavathi Bai for a portion out of the Pallegar Pension enjoyed by Mr. B. J. Kumaraswami Naik, Palegar of Bagalur Family

---

1 From Mrs Padmavathi Bai, d/ 16-1-11

States that she was living in union with the late B. J. Ramaswami Naik brother of Mr B. J. Kuma swami Naik, Head Pallegar member of the Bagal family, & pray that a portion of the pension may be sanctioned to her for her & her infan maintenance.

2 G. R. S. 58 To Padmavathi Bai,
No 42 11th Cross Rd Malleswara Extn
H 298 d/24.7.11
Declines to grant her any portion of the pension

G.R.S. 11] 1910.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S&A)

NOTES.

File No. 570/10

FILE HEADING.

Bagalur Pallegar Family Pensions.

From

Mrs: Padmavathi Bai, Bangalore.

No. Petition Dated 16-1-1911 Received 10-5-11

Diary No. 4563 Enclos. - Spare Copies Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that she was living in union with the late B. J. Ramaswami Naik brother of Mr: B. J. Kumaraswami Naik, Head Pallegar member of Bagalur Family & prays that a portion of the Pallegar pension may be sanctioned to her for her maintenance & ~~that~~ of the infant child

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1 Dewan's order on the petition:

"To office for references & draft order"

(Sd). T.A.R. 30-4-11.

2 The petitioner ~~appears to be the illegal wife of late~~ was living in union with the late B.J. Ramaswami Naik – brother of Mr: B.J. Kumaraswa

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—15,000—24-4-09.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S/H File No. 570 of 1910.

Serial No.

G.O. No. 12685-6 / 1691 d/ 13th February 1891

S

naik, Barawardar of the Bajalur Family drawing a pension × of Rs 64 p.m.

3. The petitioner states that the deceased B.G. Ramaswami naik was living in union with her & has left an offspring nine months old & therefore she prays that ~~Sanction may be accorded~~ certain portion of the family pension may be granted to her for the maintenance of the offspring left by the deceased.

4 Draft submitted, forwarding the petition to the Huzur Treasury Officer for report.

MVL 85
31-5-11

5 Not being a legally wedded wife but only a concubine neither she nor her child can claim any allowance. If perhaps being so as there is a liability to maintain ~~[illegible]~~ illegitimate children but it is hard I believe not to countenance such unholy alliances & encourage them for the sake of pleasures &c. It is therefore submitted that the petn has to be rejected.

31/5/11

31.5.11

([illegible])

31.5.11

6 Submitted.

S

In the case of Manjarabad Pallegars, Govt have recognized the illegitimate members & ~~have~~ sanctioned pensions for their subsist.

Please see flags, Z, V + R in Manjarabad family file No. 30

MVL 85
2-6-11

2/6/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

S+A File No. 570 of 1910

Serial No.

7. The precedents quoted are all old ones and unless the pensions are those given in lieu of lands resumed there seems to be no objection in not recognising illegitimate kindred. Therefore ~~[illegible]~~ think that the petn may be rejected

(Civil Secy)

K
3/6/11

8 Put up draft that Govt cannot intervene in her case & grant her & her child any portion of the pension &
9 Submit to Dewan.

C.R.
11/7/11

Draft
K
11.7.11

Draft Submitted.

Mal-88
14-7-11.

N-36
13/7/1911 Dewan)

K
14.7.11.

12502
14.7.11

Draft approved [illegible] 17 July 11
Issue

C.R.
18/7/11

17/7/11

ab SB

G. R. S. 12']
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Sb A File No. 570 of 1910-11

Serial No. ✓

To Padmavathi Bai
No. 42. 11th Cross Road
Malleswaram Extension, Beng
No: Fl. 298 of 24.7.11

Declines to grant her any port of the pension enjoyed by lat Ramaswami Naik, as it doe not appear that she was the lawfully wedded wife of th deceased.

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

PS 570-10
Ano. 1 54563

1910.

G

To Office for references and a draft order -

30/1/11

II

Ar

[illegible]

No. 2740

Name of Petitioner—Padmavati Bai

Village— Bangalore

Taluk— Do

District— Do

Date of Arzi— 16th January

Date of Receipt— 18th "

Date of Disposal—

No. of Enclosure—

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner says she was living in union with the late B. J. Ramasami Naik, a brother of Mr B. J. Komarasami Naik Dy Dr, and has also a son - 9 months old. She prays that a portion of the State allowance drawn by the deceased, may

No. 2740.

Recd by regd post
Cover No 625
18. 1. 11

Bangalore,
Dated 16th January 1911.

To

The DEWAN
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Most Respected Sir,

Your honour's suppliant Padmavati Boi most respectfully submits the following circumstances to your honour's benign conception for disposal.

1. Your honour's humble petitioner begs to state that she is a member of Mr. B.J.Kumaraswami Naik's family of Bagalur Polygar and as such she was living in union with Mr. B.J. Ramaswami Naik, the youngest brother of Mr. B.J. Kumaraswami Naik, as if in connubial capacity.

2. Ever since the occurrence of union, your honour's suppliant had been dwelling under Mr. Ramaswami Naik's exclusive control under the same roof, partaking of all luxuries and contributing to sorrows incidental to family.

3. It is very regretful to express that Mr. Ramaswami Naik has a male offspring begotten upon the petitioner and demised leaving the petitioner and the offspring nine months old behind him.

4. The property after him is only a portion of State allowance of Rs. 64/- for the sustenance of family members, which Mr. B.J.Kumaraswami Naik is getting in the character of primageniture, and a sum of Rs. 500/- that fell to his share in the division of other properties belong to the family. And this amount is still unpaid though the deceased directed Mr. Kumaraswami Naik with whom

whom the full amount is, to pay it to your honour's humble petitioner for the discharge of debts contracted by the deceased himself and by the petitioner in accordance with his direction while he was bed-ridden for about 6 months.

5. Since the death of Mr. Ramaswami Naik, Mr. Kumaraswami Naik's bare promises to make an allowance for your honour's suppliant and the offspring, substantially bear testimony of procrastination for ever.

6. Your honour's humble petitioner begs to state that she had been all the while under the exclusive dominion of the deceased and took every care and caution of him until the death of Mr. Ramaswami Naik, and no relation nor brother did turn up to the sick bed.

7. Your honour's humble petitioner prays to favour her and the offspring of the deceased, with a portion of the family State allowance for the maintenance, directly from the Government and save them.

8. For this act of benignity and charity, the petitioner with offspring begs to state to remain ever grateful.

Your honour's
Most obedient and humble
suppliant,
R. Padmavathibai.

No. 42 11th cross road
Mallaswaram
Bangalore
City.
/

12

570/10

G.R.S. 26] 20/7

File No. 10

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 4563

No. R 298

d 24-7-11

General and Revenue Secretariat
(SM)
, the 19

To

Padmavathi Bai,
No. 42, 11th Cross Road,
Malleswaram Extension,
Bangalore

Date of issue 24 July/11

Signed by W L [illegible]

With reference to her petition d/ 16th January 1911 to the address of the Dewan of Mysore praying for the grant of some allowance out of the Pallepar Pension ~~drawn by Mr. B. J Kumaraswami Naik for the maintenance of herself and the offspring~~ left vacant by the deceased

for the maintenance of herself & her infant son

over. B.

SUBJECT.

[illegible] 22/7

Ramaswami Naik, The petitioner is informed that Govt. cannot intervene in her case and grant her or her child any portion of the pension as it does not appear that she was deceased's lawfully wedded wife

14.7.11.
for Atg: Secretary

MMc 85
11-7-11

9'-36
13/7/1911

17 July 11

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—26-9-10